

Author: Gabriel Antunes Maia gabriel.maia@tecnico.ulisboa.pt



Presenter: Rui Nuno Lopes Claro

rui.claro@tecnico.ulisboa.pt





HDR Auto

Smartphones Totrasangency of this generation



Locations compete for tourist presence

Tourists want to say *I have been there!*

Plenty of infrastructure around...



Rewarding tourists for their visits



Architecture



Location proofs using Wi-Fi Scavenging

- Scan existing Wi-Fi networks
 - 3rd-party
- Server has a known list
 - Regular list updates required
- Client has part of the list
 - Triggers to identify location





94:CA:1E NEO-39CB21 @ 10:21 (trigger) E3:21:09 Go-WiFi-Free @ 10:21 44:FA:EE eduroam @ 10:22 48:11:BC John's Home @ 10:34 39:DC:A2 Belem-Free-Net @ 11:12 (trigger) 02:1F:3D AliceFamily @ 11:15 0C:AF:E4 Pasteis de Nata @ 11:15

Attacks on Wi-Fi Scavenging



Find the secret once, find it "forever"

- Forge location proofs
- Get undue rewards

Let's protect against this...

Location proofs using **Wi-Fi AP Time-based One Time Password**

 SSID changes every 2 minutes using seed-derived sequence



- Only server and AP know the secret seed
- Algorithm described in RFC 6238 2FA, e.g. Google Authenticator
- Proof of visit time and duration



2C:3E:B6 CROSS-C-2393 @ 12:34 2C:3E:B6 CROSS-C-9198 @ 12:36 2C:3E:B6 CROSS-C-1091 @ 12:38 5F:39:A0 CROSS-D-5527 @ 14:02 5F:39:A0 CROSS-D-2322 @ 14:04 5F:39:A0 CROSS-D-9003 @ 14:06

Attacks on Wi-Fi AP TOTP



- Relay SSIDs to remote accomplice requires more effort!
- Forge location proofs
- Get undue rewards

Let's protect against this...

Location proofs using a **Kiosk**

Completely different

- No wireless communication
- Uses QR codes Something we can **see**
- Mutual authentication
- Prevents **Sybil** attacks



Kiosk is not always the solution

- Tourist satisfaction is important
- Use kiosk only when necessary and convenient
- Variety is good: combine different strategies in different locations
 - Increase necessary attacker effort
 - Decrease deployment costs
- Architecture supports other proof strategies

Evaluation

Evaluation

- Tests with **30 users**
 - **34** different Android smartphones
- Test route with 3 locations (A, B, C, N)
 - Alameda campus of Instituto Superior Técnico



Results: Location detection performance

After 3 minutes at each location

| Location | Proof Strategy | Total visits | Total detections | Success rate |
|-----------------|-------------------|--------------|---------------------|--------------|
| А | Scavenging | 34 | 30 | 88% |
| В | Scavenging | 34 | 33 | 97 % |
| С | TOTP | 34 | 34 | 100% |
| N (not visited) | Scavenging | 0 | 0 | 100% |

Results: Location proof performance



Results: **Power consumption**

What is the power consumption of CROSS vs. GPS? vs. no collection?

| Location collection method | Polling rate | Total test duration | Average battery drain | |
|------------------------------------|--------------|------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|
| CROSS using GPS + Wi-Fi | 30 seconds | 8 hours | 1.25 p.p. / hour | GPS usage has noticeable impact |
| CROSS using Wi-Fi (as designed) | 30 seconds | 39.5 hours | 0.61 p.p. / hour | Negligible impact |
| No collection | N/A | 29.5 hours | 0.58 p.p. / hour | |
| (p.p.: percentage po | ints) | | | |

Results: Scavenging feasibility

- Are there enough Wi-Fi networks for scavenging to work?
- Does the network list require constant updates?

| Wi-Fi networks present at urban locations in Lisbon | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------|-----------------|-----|-----------------|-----|--|--|--|
| Location | Initial total | After ten days | | After one month | | | | |
| | | Present | New | Present | New | | | |
| Alvalade | 86 | 74 (86%) | 13 | 73 (85%) | 31 | | | |
| Pr. Comércio | 133 | 8 (6%) | 60 | 7 (5%) | 43 | | | |
| Gulbenkian | 80 | 54 (68%) | 92 | 54 (68%) | 55 | | | |
| Jerónimos | 148 | 34 (23%) | 100 | 24 (16%) | 62 | | | |
| Oceanário | 39 | 22 (56%) | 41 | 24 (64%) | 40 | | | |
| Sé | 61 | 25 (41%) | 43 | 22 (36%) | 44 | | | |

In conclusion, **CROSS**

- Location proofs in a smartphone application
 - Smart tourism the **demonstrative** use case
- Three different location proof techniques
 - Nonintrusive user experience
 - Adequate security guarantees
- Can be extended
 - Other location proof techniques
 - Integration with third-party systems



Ongoing Work

- **Wi-Fi traces** scavenged provide new opportunities
 - Compiled these traces into a dataset
 - Of various points of interest in the city of Lisbon
- Extend the scavenging method of CROSS
 - To provide time-bound location proofs
- Use the diversity of **Wi-Fi networks** observed in the **dataset**
 - Stable networks (trigger) to determine location
 - Volatile networks (hotspots) to determine time window





Publication:

Maia, G.A., Pardal, M.L.: CROSS: loCation pROof techniqueS for consumer mobile applicationS

Thank You

CROSS location proofs for smart tourism in the city

Gabriel Antunes Maia

gabriel.maia@tecnico.ulisboa.pt

Presenter: Rui Nuno Lopes Claro

rui.claro@tecnico.ulisboa.pt

Submission under preparation:

Elsevier Journal on Pervasive and Mobile Computing Special Issue on "Location Based Services and Applications in the era of Internet of Things"